

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to suggest language to overcome 112 2nd paragraph rejection along with a 103 rejection based on the two US references above. The attorney agreed with the examiner's suggestion to place the application in condition for allowance. However, the examiner discovered that the claims of US 6,423,581 should be used to write a non statutory obviousness double patenting rejection. Therefore, a non-final rejection is forthcoming.